- (2) A Federal agency other than the Service.
- (b) The partner must commit to a financial contribution or an in-kind contribution, or to take a voluntary action during the period of performance.
- (c) In-kind contributions or actions must be necessary and contribute directly and substantively to the completion of the project. You must explain in the grant application how they are necessary and contribute to completing the project.
- (d) A governmental entity may be a partner unless its contribution to completing the project is a mandatory duty of the agency, such as reviewing a permit application. A voluntary action by a government agency or employee is a partnership.

§ 86.56 What does the Service consider when evaluating a project that includes more than the minimum match?

- (a) When we evaluate a project under the criterion for match at §86.51(b)(1), we consider how much cash the applicant and partners commit above the required minimum match of 25 percent of project costs.
- (b) The contribution may be from a State, a single source, or any combination of sources.
 - $\left(c\right)$ We will award points as follows:

Percent cash match	Points
26–30	1
31–35	2
36–40	3
41–45	4
46–50	5
51–80	6
81 or higher	7

(d) We must waive the first \$200,000 in match for the entities described at $\S 86.32(a)$. We will determine the required match by subtracting the waived amount from the required 25 percent match and award points using the table at paragraph (c) of this section.

§86.57 What does the Service consider when evaluating contributions that a partner brings to a project?

(a) We consider these factors for partner contributions in evaluating a proposed project under the criterion at §86.51(b)(2):

- (1) The significance of the contribution to the success of the project;
- (2) How the contribution supports the actions proposed in the project statement:
- (3) How the partner demonstrates its commitment to the contribution; and
- (4) The ability of the partner to fulfill its commitment.
- (b) We may consider the combined contributions of several partners, according to the factors at paragraph (a) of this section.
- (c) To receive consideration for this criterion, you must show in your application how a partner, or group of partners, significantly supports the project by addressing the factors in paragraph (a) of this section.
- (d) You may describe partner contributions in the project statement.
- (e) Under this criterion, partner contributions need not exceed the 25 percent required match.

§ 86.58 What does the Service consider when evaluating a project for a physical component, technology, or technique that will improve eligible user access?

- (a) In evaluating a proposed project under the criterion at §85.51(c)(1), we consider whether the project will increase the availability of the BIG-funded facility for eligible users or improve eligible boater access to the facility by:
- (1) Using a new technology or technique; or
- (2) Applying a new use of an existing technology or technique.
- (b) We will not award points for following access standards set by law.
- (c) We will consider if you choose to complete the project using an optional or advanced technology or technique that will improve access, or if you go beyond the minimum requirements.
- (d) To receive consideration for this criterion, you must describe in the grant application the current standard and how you will exceed the standard.

§ 86.59 What does the Service consider when evaluating a project for innovative physical components, technology, or techniques that improve the BIG project?

(a) In evaluating a proposed project under the criterion at §86.51(c)(2), we